



**Summary of the decisions taken at the meeting
of the Executive held on Monday 7 January 2013**

1. Date of publication of this summary: 8 January 2013
2. Decisions (if any) taken as a matter of urgency under Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules as set out in the Constitution (and not therefore subject to the call-in procedure): None
3. Date by which notice of call-in of any of the following decisions must be received in writing by the Chief Executive (see notes below):- Noon on Friday 11 January 2013
4. Notes:-
 - (a) For background documentation to the following decisions, please refer to the agenda and supporting papers (copies of which are available on the Council's website (www.cherwell.gov.uk) or from Democratic Services);
 - (b) Notice of call-in must be submitted in writing, by email or text to the Chief Executive by the deadline specified above, and must state the reason or reasons why "call-in" has been requested;
 - (c) Call-in can be requested by any six non-executive members of the Council.
However, if at any point during a municipal year the total number of opposition councillors is six or less the total number of non-executive members required to call-in a decision shall be the total number of opposition councillors less two.
 - (d) Decisions not called-in by the deadline specified above will become effective immediately the deadline has expired (unless they are recommendations to the Council).
 - (e) The Council has stipulated that the call-in procedure should not be used to challenge decisions as a matter of course and should be used only when fully justified.

**Sue Smith
Chief Executive**

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 6 Council Investment in District Sports Facilities Post 2012</p> <p>Report of Director of Community and Environment</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>Executive is recommended to consider:</p> <p>(1) The Council establishing a medium term Olympic Legacy Fund to support increased sporting participation in football, cricket, rugby, hockey, athletics and tennis.</p> <p>(2) Investment in the Council's stock of existing and proposed facilities which meet the</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That, subject to the need for formal approval of full Council, the establishment of a Cherwell District Council medium term Olympic Legacy Fund to support increased sporting participation in football, cricket, rugby, hockey, athletics and tennis be agreed.</p> <p>(2) That it be agreed that investment in the Council's stock of existing and proposed facilities which meet the above requirement (resolution 1) should be given priority, especially where there</p>	<p>If the Council does not invest in the facilities, then over time, sporting usage will fall. This has consequences not only for level of club use and participation but consequential affects down stream on the health of our community both in terms of the physical health and well being of residents and in social well being as there is potential for increased anti social behaviour if there are not the opportunities for positive activities, particularly for young people.</p> <p>This Olympic Legacy approach will help to coordinate investment across the District to ensure availability of facilities and with some opportunities to achieve procurement savings.</p>	<p>Option One - Do nothing-facilities will gradually fall into a state of disrepair and be withdrawn from use.</p> <p>Option Two - Piecemeal investment as and when individual facilities require investment through annual cycle of bids through the Council's capital programme.</p> <p>Option Three - A planned approach through an Olympic Legacy fund to secure benefits of developing a District wide approach and maximise opportunities for joint procurement and reduced costs. This is the recommended option.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>above requirement should be given priority, especially where there is a focus on sports participation by young people.</p> <p>(3) The first call on the fund to be the facility investment projects for North Oxfordshire Academy Athletics Track, Kidlington and Gosford Leisure centre Artificial Turf Pitch, Stratfield Brake and the Phase 2 balance of funding for the SW Bicester Sports Village as detailed in the draft capital programme and subject to its approval.</p>	<p>is a focus on sports participation by young people.</p> <p>(3) That the first call on the fund be the facility investment projects for North Oxfordshire Academy Athletics Track, Kidlington and Gosford Leisure centre Artificial Turf Pitch, Stratfield Brake and the Phase 2 balance of funding for the SW Bicester Sports Village as detailed in the draft capital programme.</p>	<p>If the Executive is minded to set up an Olympic Legacy fund, it is proposed that those capital investment requirements for sports facilities included in the draft 2013/14 capital programme become the first call on the fund. Future decisions on further calls on the balance of the fund will be preceded by detailed consideration by the Executive on each proposal.</p>		

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 7 Draft Budget One 2013/14</p> <p>Report of Head of Finance and Procurement</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The Executive is recommended to:</p> <p>(1) consider the draft revenue budget (detailed in Appendix 1);</p> <p>(2) note the recommendations of the scrutiny reviews of discretionary expenditure and the capital programme that were considered at the Resources and Performance Scrutiny Board meetings</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the draft revenue budget be agreed subject to the amendments to the proposed capital programme 2013/14 detailed in resolution (2).</p> <p>(2) That the recommendations of the scrutiny reviews of discretionary expenditure and the capital programme that were considered at the Resources and Performance Scrutiny Board meetings October 2012 to December 2012 be noted and endorsed subject to the following amendments:</p>	<p>There is a statutory requirement for the Council to set a balanced budget by 11 March 2013 and this report provides a first draft of the 2013/14 revenue and capital budget.</p>	<p>Options One - To review draft revenue budget to date and consider actions arising.</p> <p>Option Two - To approve or reject the recommendations above or request that Officers provide additional information.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>October 2012 to December 2012 and detailed in Appendix 2;</p> <p>(3) advise of any other matters they would like taken into consideration in producing a balanced budget for the meeting of the Executive on 4 February 2013;</p> <p>(4) endorse the passporting of the Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS) grant to local preceptors as detailed in Para 2.20-2.26.</p> <p>(5) note the decision taken in Oxfordshire not to progress with a pool</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial System Upgrade: If any implications in the specification connected to document capture and storage then defer any expenditure until final decision on the bid for a Corporate – Electronic Document and Records Management System (EDRMS) and the ensuing detailed business case. • Highfield Depot Feasibility: Original bid of £200k to be reinstated to incorporate proposed 			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
	<p>redevelopment of office and welfare facilities at Highfield Depot in addition to a feasibility study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Community Facilities Grant Scheme: To become Community Facilities Loan Scheme • Cherwell District Council and South Northamptonshire Council Customer Services Desktop as a Service (DaaS): Include this bid in the proposed Capital Programme 2013/14 subject to similar approval by South 			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
	<p style="text-align: center;">Northamptonshire Council</p> <p>(3) That no further matters to those set out in these resolutions be requested to be taken into consideration in producing a balanced budget for the meeting of the Executive on 4 February 2013.</p> <p>(4) That the passporting of the Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS) grant to local preceptors be endorsed.</p> <p>(5) That the decision taken in Oxfordshire not to progress with a pool be noted.</p>			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 10 The Oxford and Central Oxfordshire and Milton Keynes and South East Midlands City Deals</p> <p>Exempt Report of Director of Resources</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>As set out in the exempt report</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the contents of the report be noted.</p> <p>(2) That officers be requested to continue engaging Cherwell District Council with the two city deals that cover Oxford and Central Oxfordshire and Milton Keynes and the South East Midlands and report back to the Executive at the appropriate time.</p>	<p>This report is presented to the Executive for them to determine whether they wish to continue to engage with both city deal processes.</p>	<p>Option One - To continue to engage with both city deals</p> <p>Option Two - To engage with only one of the bids and, if so, to determine which one</p> <p>Option Three - Not to continue with either bid</p>	<p>None</p>